

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/451,699	11/30/1999	CHRIS K WENSEL	073388.0122	9403	
75	590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER		
BAKER & BOTTS L L P			WON, MICHAEL YOUNG		
2001 ROSS AV DALLAS, TX			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2155		
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/451,699	WENSEL, CHRIS K				
	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Michael Y Won	2155				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	the final rejection. EFINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app		•				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		Bersot.			
10. ☐ Other:		BHARAT BARO	ilicu L			

Continuation Sh t (PTOL-303) 09/451,699

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant(s) have reiterated substantially th same argument(s) from previous amendments and has not addresses any other arguments to prove otherwise. Butman clearly trach is of a connection property table at the client side communication server (see col. 15, lin is 15-19 and col. 21, lin is 19-56). Furthermor, Butman teaches in an alternative embodiment, the "domain communications server" can in fact reside on the client side as reference do in the provious office action (see col. 15, lines 43-44: "Alternatively, domain communication servers A2 and A3 might be regional domain communications servers for a single network"). Additionally, in response to the argument that "client side communication server of the Butman, et al. patent is never required to traverse a boundary device controlling access to another client side communication server and thus do is not create a boundary traversal key", Butman clearly teaches that one of the objectives of the invention is to resolve prior art difficiencies of traversing boundaries among distributed and disparate networks (see col. 1, line 6-10: "access security"; col. 5, lines 25-62 prior art; col. 8, lines 9-48: objective; and col. 20, line 66 to col. 21, line 10: "key").